The New Hork Times

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 22, 1994

Rebuke and Revenge at Met Life

Agent's Evidence Led to Crackdown

By MICHAEL QUINT

BUTLER, PB. — Rick Subo cume from a lamily in which all three sons went to work sething life insurance for the Metropolitan Life Insurance for the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company Su immersed were the three brithers in the business that they joked about tottooing themselves with the Met Life logo, and their purries in this town near Pittsburgh legan collecting Met Life menurabilita. The work offered a good living. "The monty kind of fured me into the industry," recalled Mr. Sabo, now 30 years aid. "My bruther was driving a Mercredes and lought a five-bedroom liuing." But within a few years the seamy.

humse."
But within a few years, the seamy sales practices of some fellow agents who were favorites among the Met. Life thieraris by in western Pennsylvania started to trouble Mr. Sabo. Unlike many of his colleagues, he began complaining to his superiors, thinking that manugement would be grateful. His reward: he was fired.

State Investigation

His reward: he was fired State Investigation Mr. Sahu put his revenge. He gave state regulations evidence that led to an investigation and a blistering report that forced Met. Life to offer restitution last spring to more than 60,000 Pennsylvania customers who could show they were improperly persuaded to replace their old policy with a new one or were improperly said life insurance in the guise of a retirement or savings plan.

The Pennsylvania report and the \$1.5 million fine levied on Met. Life are part of a growing crackdown by state regulators— there is no Federal regulations of insurance companies—on sales practices by the country's 600,000 sales agents and the 3,000 life insurance companies they represent. Met Life has recently taken the brut of the criticism, and carlier this year was fined a record 320 million in a multipate sonducted from its Tampa, Fia., office.

Other companies — among them Prudential Insurance, John Hancock and New York Life — have been accused of similar misdeeds in recent

Insurance companies say that ac-tions by a minority of agents are giving the entire industry a bad repu-tation. But even if that is true, there are many agents who are awere of misdeeds by their colleagues and do nothing about it. Cynthia M. Maleski, Pennsylvania's insurance commis-sioner, said that was why she was urging the industry to develop "some

sort of self-policing and peer review" system, like that of doctors and law-yers.

In the meantime, though, the role has fallen to courageous agents like Rick Saho.

For more than a year after he came out of the Murine Curps in 1988 and started selling insurance, Mr

Sabo was too concerned about his own

Sabo was too concerned about his own job to pay much attention to grand reforms. "I was a wide-eyed company man," he said, "in my own little world on my own little career."

His first hints that not all agents did what was right for the customer came a few weeks after foining his brother Craig's office. While looking at records of what agents call "orphan" accounts — those whose original sales agent had moved on — he was surprised when another agent looking over his shoulder exclaimed about the "juice" in some of the customer accounts.

Juice, Mr. Sabo soon learned, is the

tomer accounts.
Julce, Mr. Sabo soon learned, is the cash value of insurance policies sold years ago and kept in force by customers who dutifully pay their premiums. In western Pennsylvania, such customers were the targets of agents who specialized in what the state report issued last February called churning.

customers were the targets of agents who specialized in what the state report issued last February called churning.

Some Met Life agents would convince customers that by drawing on the accumulated cash value of old policies, they could have new, erhanced policies free. But often, the fluston of free insurance lasted only a few years, until the value of the old policy was exhausted and the customer was hit with a larger-than-expected premium payment.

The practice can produce a large commission for agents even while the consumer and insurance company suffer. Customers can be hurt because if they stop paying premiums on the new policy they lose all benefits, including the cash value accumulated in the old policy. Met Life was hurt because of unhappy customers, and the high commissions it was paying to agents who sold the new policies.

Although Met Life's training man-

uals discourage the practice and its record-keeping system was designed to spot the practice, agents in Western Pennsylvania knew how to beat the system. To avoid company rules barring payment of full commissions on sales of new policies that replace existing policies, agents left the existing policy in place but used the dividends from that policy to finance a new policy.

new policy.

But knowing that the practice con-tinued anyway, Met Life kept track of such sales and set a guideline under

A growing movement against life insurance sales practices.

which they would account for no more than 15 percent of the policies sold by an agent. But because the Met. Life computer kept track of only the first agent named on a new policy, Mr. Sabo said, agents would share their customers and split the commissions to keep below the 15 percent threshold.

or neep nerow the 15 percent threshold.

Two Agents Cited

Agents who specialized in selling new policies financed by old policies were helped by Gary P. Antonino, a regional vice president who saw audit reports of the activity but took no action, according to the state report.

Mr. Sabo recalled that as a new agent he was surprised to hear so many complaints, particularly about two agents, Joel Sherman and Ronald Shram, both of whom were cited in the state report as very active in the business of restructuring old policies. "Those two guys were Gary Antonino's two best salesmen," Mr. Sabo sald, noting that their success increased Mr. Antonino's pay package.

Even so, Mr. Sabo did not immediately begin pushing for change, even though it meant telling disgranted customers that there was nothing he could do about their complaints. He noted that sales practices in the Buiter office were different from those of other western Pennsylvania offices because his brother Craig, who was the branch manager, had resisted Mr. Antonino's reforts to have Mr. Sherman or Mr. Shram use the Butter branch's records to pursue tustomers with pulce in their policies.

Nor, Mr. Sabo sald, did the Butter branch's records to pursue tustomers with pulce in their policies.

Nor, Mr. Sabo sald, did the Butter branch's records to pursue tustomers with pulce in their policies.

Nor, Mr. Sabo sald, did the Butter branch's records to pursue tustomers with pulce in their policies.

Nor, Mr. Sabo sald, did the Butter branch succumb to Mr. Antonino's pressure to use marketing ilterature falled in mention insurance and talked instead of customers' making deposits instead of c

lists or use the "retirement" marketing literature.

A complaint from the parents of the flancée of a co-worker he had recruited finally led Mr. Sabo to action. "If I just walked away from them, what message would I be sending to this new kid?" he asked. He hold the family to take their complaint about a faistified illustration of a new policy showing incorrect benefits to Met Life's regional office in Johnstown, Pa., bypassing the local agent. Met Life issued the family a relund.

Refund and Rebuke

Refund and Rebuke

Later in 1990, Mr. Sabo's referral to the Pennsylvania Insurance Depart-ment of another unhappy customer whose policy improperly identified him as a nonsmoker brought the cus-tomer a full refund from Met Life.

But as with the earlier complaint, Mr Saho was rebuked by Mr. Antoning for not being a learn player.

When he took complaints from unhappy customers to the company, Mr. Sabo sald, he was molivated in part by self-interest. There would be more opportunity for advancement if the had agents were weeded out. "I thought that when I proved my arguments, the company would welcome with open arms," he sald, instead, he lamented, after he proved his colleagues acted improperly, "the company lets them fire me." He was fired in March 1992 on what he calls trumped-up charges of dis-

pany lets them fire me."
He was fired in March 1992 on what he calls trumped-up charges of disoyalty, concocted after he investigated the complaint of a third customer. Mr. Sabo said he grew angrier when Mr. Antonino opposed his claims for unemployment compensation and, back pay, when his business records were withheld, and when Met Life agents tried to steal his customers. Mr. Sabo finally took his complaints about the chuming of old policies and improper marketing of insurance as a retirement plan to the state insurance department in March 1993. After the state report and a housecleaning by Met Life, Mr. Sabo said he felt "comewhat vindicated," though dismayed that agents and supervisors criticized in the state report had not lost their licenses. Some, like Mr. Antonino, have left Met Life but are still in the insurance business: Outlet for Anger Outlet for Anger

Outsel for Anger
Mr. Sabo now operates an insurance agency grandiosely named Money Concepts International; Croig
Sabo has left the company for other
insurance sales work; the third
brother, Randy, remains a Met Life
agent. After two years of being depressed and distraught, Rick Sabo
has found an outset for his anger;
promoting the cause of consumers

Using the cash in old polices to buy

harmed by questionable sales prac-tices. He has accused Met Life and some of its agents of racketeering in a lawsuit in state court, and has de-cided that "whatever! can do to keep these problems about insurance sales in the public eye is in my best inter-est."

in the public eye is in my best interest."

Charles Sahner, a spokesman lof Met 1.1fe, sald that the business practices in the Pittsburgh area "should never have happened" and that the company was now "making sure that the restilicution program moves forward and we fully procet policyholders from loss." as a result of improperactivities. He declined to comment on Mr. Sabo's lawsuit against Met 1.1fe and former apents and supervisors in the Pittsburgh area except to 4xy that "we are a big company and big companies are often sued by former employees."

ployees."
His new activities do not pay much,

His new activities do not pay much, however. Mr. Sabo works as a consultant with a few law (firms handling consumer lawswist against level of the state of the st



Evidence provided by Rick Sabo led to a Pennsylvania report that forced Met Life to offer restitution to more than £0,000 customers in that state. Mr. Sabo holds a copy of the report.